arXiv:1205.1074v2 [math.DS] 5 Jun 2012

Distinguished Line Bundles for Complex Surface Automorphisms

Paul Reschke

Abstract

We equate dynamical properties (e.g., positive entropy, existence of a periodic curve) of complex projective surface automorphisms with properties of the pull-back actions of such automorphisms on line bundles. We use these results to determine precisely when a complex projective surface automorphism and its inverse form a polarized dynamical system of two morphisms, and to describe the measure of maximal entropy for such a system.

1 Overview

In this paper, we investigate cohomological actions induced by complex projective surface automorphisms. In particular, we show that the condition that such an automorphism has positive entropy has important implications for the action of the automorphism on line bundles. Via results by Cantat in [7], these constraints lead to a distinguished means of obtaining the measures of maximal entropy for certain projective surface automorphisms. In an application of these ideas, we characterize the notion of a polarized dynamical system of a surface automorphism and its inverse, which was introduced by Kawaguchi in [17] and studied further by Lee in [21].

A polynomial $S(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is reciprocal if it satisfies $S(t) = t^s S(t^{-1})$, where s is the degree of $S(t)$. A monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a Salem polynomial if it is reciprocal, it has a positive real root, and it has exactly two roots with magnitude not equal to one. A real algebraic integer is a Salem number if it is greater than one and its minimal polynomial is a Salem polynomial; the degree of a Salem number is the degree of its minimal polynomial. Given any $\mathbb{Z}$-module endomorphism ϕ and any polynomial

$$Q(t) = q_0 + q_1 t + \cdots + q_n t^n$$

with integer coefficients, there is a naturally defined $\mathbb{Z}$-module endomorphism

$$Q(\phi) = q_0 \mathbf{1} + q_1 \phi + \cdots + q_n \phi^n,$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity map and $\phi^j = \phi^{\circ j}$ is the j-fold iteration $\phi \circ \cdots \circ \phi$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let X be a connected compact Kähler surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X. Let λ be a Salem number of degree s, let $S(t)$ be the minimal polynomial for λ, and let $W_S(\sigma)$ be the kernel of the action of $S(\sigma^*)$ on $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. Then the following four statements are equivalent:*

1) *$W_S(\sigma)$ contains a line bundle with a non-trivial Chern class;*

2) *$W_S(\sigma)$ contains an s-dimensional sublattice of line bundles with non-trivial Chern classes;*

3) *X is projective and the entropy of σ is $\log(\lambda) > 0$; and*

4) *$W_S(\sigma)$ contains a nef and beg line bundle.*

Since the entropy of any automorphism of a connected compact Kähler surface must be zero or the logarithm of a Salem number, Theorem 1.1 accounts for all smooth complex projective surface automorphisms with positive entropy. Also, any monic irreducible polynomial satisfying (1) in Theorem 1.1 must be either a Salem polynomial or a cyclotomic polynomial. (See §2.4 in this paper.)

Definition: Let σ be an automorphism of a smooth complex projective surface X with entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$, and let $S(t)$ be the minimal polynomial for λ. Then a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ will be called *distinguished* if $S(\sigma^*)L$ is trivial.

As a special case of Theorem 1.1, suppose that there is a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ (with a non-trivial Chern class) that satisfies

$$\sigma^* L \otimes (\sigma^{-1})^* L = L^{\otimes d}$$

for some integer $d > 2$; then the triple $(X; \sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ is a dynamical system of two morphisms (associated to L, of degree d) in the sense defined by Kawaguchi in [17]. In this case, the Salem polynomial

$$S(t) = t^2 - dt + 1$$

satisfies

$$S(\sigma^*)L = (\sigma^*)^2 L \otimes (\sigma^* L)^{\otimes(-d)} \otimes L = 0.$$

Thus (since a monic reciprocal quadratic polynomial is a Salem polynomial if and only if its middle coefficient is less than -2) the following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2 *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X. Then $(X; \sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ is a dynamical system of two morphisms associated to a line bundle if and only if the entropy of σ is the logarithm of a degree-two Salem number λ; in this case, the degree of the dynamical system is $\lambda + \lambda^{-1}$, and the dynamical system is associated to a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ if and only if L is distinguished.*

By Theorem 1.1, some distinguished line bundle for a smooth complex projective surface automorphism with positive entropy can always be taken to be nef and big; however, there may be no ample distinguished line bundle for such an automorphism.

Theorem 1.3 *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X with entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

1) *There is an ample distinguished line bundle on X; and*

2) *No curve on X is periodic for σ.*

Theorem 1.3 shows that, in general, the dichotomy between automorphisms with periodic curves and those without periodic curves can be interpreted as a dichotomy of the sets of distinguished line bundles associated to these automorphisms. If a projective surface automorphism has a periodic curve that is exceptional (of the first kind) on the surface, then the automorphism descends to an automorphism of the surface obtained by contraction of the orbit of the exceptional curve; conversely, if a projective surface automorphism has a periodic point, then the automorphism extends to an automorphism of the blow-up of the surface along the orbit of the point (and the exceptional curves coming from the blow-up are periodic for the extended automorphism). (See §2.4 in this paper.) A projective surface automorphism that admits an ample distinguished line bundle must be minimal in the sense that no exceptional curve on the surface is periodic; however an automorphism for which no exceptional curve is periodic may still have a periodic curve. (See §6.1, §6.2, and §6.3 in this paper.)

In the special case of Theorem 1.1 where X is projective and σ has as its entropy the logarithm of a degree-two Salem number, $(X; \sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ is a polarized dynamical system of two morphisms, in the sense defined by Lee in [21], if there is an ample distinguished line bundle for σ. Thus the following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

Corollary 1.4 *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X. Then $(X; \sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ is a polarized dynamical system of two morphisms if and only if the entropy of σ is the logarithm of a degree-two Salem number and no curve on X is periodic for σ.*

Remark on the quadratic case. The special case of a surface automorphism whose entropy is the logarithm of a degree-two Salem number arises in a variety of settings. In particular, alternative proofs of Corollaries 1.2 and 1.4 can be developed from results by Kawaguchi in [18] and results by Cantat and Lamy in [9].

Many examples of rational surface automorphisms with positive entropy have been shown to exist in [4], [12], [25], and [33]. The constructions in all of these cases yield automorphisms with invariant cuspidal cubic curves; however, examples of rational surface automorphisms with positive entropy and no periodic curves have been show to exist in [5]. (See §6.3 in this paper.) Examples of projective K3 surface automorphisms with positive entropy have been studied in [2], [18], [21], and [26], among others. All of the examples that arise from torus automorphisms via the Kummer construction must have periodic curves; however, many of the non-Kummer examples have no periodic curves. On the other hand, an abelian surface automorphism with positive entropy can never have a periodic curve. (See §6.1 and §6.2 in this paper.) As explained by Cantat in [8], any smooth complex projective surface that admits an automorphism with positive entropy must be birational to an abelian surface, a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, or the projective plane. (See §2.4 in this paper.)

Suppose that X is a smooth complex projective surface, and that σ is an automorphism of X with positive entropy. Results by Cantat in [7] show that σ has a unique measure of maximal entropy, μ_σ, and that this measure can be expressed as a wedge product $T_+ \wedge T_-$ of positive closed $(1,1)$-currents T_+ and T_- on X that are, respectively, dilated and contracted under the action on currents induced by σ; additional results in [7] show, moreover, that the isolated periodic points of σ are equidistributed with respect to μ_σ. (See §4.1 and §4.2 in this paper.)

Theorem 1.5 *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X with entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$. Suppose that X is not a rational surface. Then there is a distinguished nef and big line bundle on X whose Chern class contains a semi-positive form; moreover, if ω_0 is the semi-positive form, then the inductively defined sequence*

$$\{\omega_n = (\lambda + \lambda^{-1})^{-1}(\sigma^*\omega_{n-1} + (\sigma^{-1})^*\omega_{n-1})\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges weakly to a positive current T with the property that the measure $T \wedge T$ is $c\mu_\sigma$ for some positive real number c.

In the special case where $(X; \sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ is a polarized dynamical system of degree d associated to an ample line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, the Chern class of L necessarily contains some Kähler form κ_0. Results by Kawaguchi in [17] and Lee in [21] (combined with the equidistribution result by Cantat in [7]) show that κ_0 has the same properties as ω_0 in Theorem 1.5 (with $d = \lambda + \lambda^{-1}$). So Theorem 1.5 provides a means of extending this conclusion to the case where σ has periodic curves (if X is not rational), as shown in the following corollary. (See §4.4 in this paper.)

Corollary 1.6 *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X with entropy the logarithm of a degree-two Salem number. Suppose that X is not a rational surface. Then there is a distinguished nef and big line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ such that the Chern class of L contains a semi-positive form and $(X; \sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ is a dynamical system of two morphisms associated to L; moreover, the semi-positive form has the properties indicated in Theorem 1.5.*

In Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6, the assumption that the surface is not rational allows the use of a theorem due to Kawamata to show the existence of the distinguished semi-positive forms; it is not known if there can be rational surface automorphisms with positive entropy and no such semi-positive forms. (See §4.3 in this paper.)

The methods used to characterize distinguished line bundles for Theorem 1.1 can be applied in a similar fashion to the investigation of the behavior of line bundles in general under complex projective surface automorphisms with positive entropy. More precisely, given such an automorphism of a surface X, these methods provide a means of understanding the spaces spanned by orbits of Chern classes of line bundles in the Néron-Severi group $\mathrm{NS}(X)$.

Theorem 1.7 *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X with entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

1) *There is an ample line bundle on X whose orbit of Chern classes under σ^* spans $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$; and*

2) *The characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is separable.*

In the setting of Theorem 1.7, the characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ may be separable or inseparable; there are examples for both cases. (See §6.1, §6.2, and §6.3 in this paper.)

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: in §2, we describe important properties of cohomological actions induced by automorphisms of compact Kähler surfaces; in §3, we use these properties to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3; in §4, we prove Theorem 1.5 and we discuss in more detail the setting of a dynamical system of an automorphism and its inverse associated to a line bundle; in §5, we discuss cyclotomic factors for surface automorphisms and we prove Theorem 1.7; finally, in §6, we give examples of automorphisms that highlight the different situations that can arise with regard to the induced action on line bundles.

Acknowledgements: We thank Laura DeMarco, Serge Cantat, Izzet Coskun, and Chong Gyu Lee for helpful discussions. We also thank Igor Dolgachev for asking a question that prompted the development of Theorem 1.7 and the material in §5.

2 Cohomological Structures

In this section, we recall a variety of facts about cohomology groups (§2.1), line bundles (§2.2), and differential forms (§2.3) on compact Kähler surfaces. In §2.4, we present several previously known results about the implications of the existence of an automorphism with positive entropy on a compact Kähler surface.

Let X be a connected compact Kähler surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X.

2.1 Cohomology Groups

The Hodge decomposition gives, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(X),$$

where each $H^{p,q}(X)$ is isomorphic to the corresponding Dolbeault cohomology group and also each $H^{p,0}(X)$ is isomorphic to $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. (For details, see [3].) Moreover,

$$\overline{H^{p,q}(X)} = H^{q,p}(X)$$

for any p and q; thus, in particular, there is another decomposition

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} = H^2(X, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}} = H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus (H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X))_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The Picard group on X is denoted $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$, and the Néron-Severi group of X is denoted $\operatorname{NS}(X)$; the first Chern map from $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ to $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is denoted c_1. (For details, see [3] and [15].) When torsion is factored out, the image of c_1 is $\operatorname{NS}(X)$; moreover, the Lefschetz theorem on (1,1) classes gives

$$\operatorname{NS}(X) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(X).$$

The rank of $\operatorname{NS}(X)$ (as a finitely generated abelian group) is called the Picard rank of X. The kernel of c_1 is called the Picard variety of X and is denoted $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$; it is a complex torus of dimension equal to the rank of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ as a complex vector space. Finally, the cup product defines a symmetric bilinear form on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and a compatible quadratic form on $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$; the form on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ coincides with the image of the bilinear form on $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ coming from intersections of curves. The pull-back map σ^* on each of these spaces is an automorphism that preserves the corresponding pairing; moreover, these pull-back maps commute with the Chern map. The intersection of two elements o_1 and o_2 is denoted $o_1.o_2$, while the self-intersection of an element o is denoted o^2.

2.2 Line Bundles

The following consequence of Grauert's criterion provides a means of determining whether or not X is projective.

Theorem 2.1 ([3], Theorem IV.6.2) *A connected compact complex surface X is projective if and only if there is a line bundle $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ with $L^2 > 0$.*

The following further consequence of Grauert's criterion determines when a line bundle with positive self-intersection is in fact ample itself.

Theorem 2.2 ([3], Theorem IV.6.4) *Let X be a connected compact complex surface. Then a line bundle $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is ample if and only if $L^2 > 0$ and $L.[D] > 0$ for any effective divisor D on X.*

If X is projective, then every line bundle on X is a non-empty class of linearly equivalent divisors; that is, $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is precisely the group of divisors on X modulo linear equivalence. (See [15], §II.4 and §II.6.) Since the pull-back of an effective divisor is again an effective divisor, the set of effective divisor classes

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \{[D] \in \operatorname{Pic}(X) | D \text{ is an effective divisor}\}$$

is preserved by σ^*. (See [3], §I.6, and [15], §II.6.) Thus, since σ^* also preserves the intersection pairing on $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, the set of ample line bundles on X is preserved by σ^* as well. The following property of ample line bundles is a consequence of the Hodge index theorem: if $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is ample, then $L.L' \neq 0$ for any $L' \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ with $(L')^2 > 0$. (See [15], §V.1.) A line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is called nef if $L.[D] \geq 0$ for any effective divisor D on X; if X is projective, a nef line bundle on X is called big if it has positive self-intersection. (See [3], §I.6, §IV.7, and §IV.12.) Thus any ample line bundle on X is necessarily nef and big. The following property of line bundles with positive self-intersection is a consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem: if $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ has $L^2 > 0$ and $L.H > 0$ for some ample $H \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, then $L^{\otimes m} \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. (See [15], §V.1.) If $\hat{X}$ is the blow-up of X at a point and E is the exceptional curve for the blow-up, then the Picard group of $\hat{X}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\hat{X}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X) \times <[E]>;$$

moreover, the intersection form on $\mathrm{Pic}(\hat{X})$ is compatible with the intersection form on $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ (which is orthogonal to $<[E]>$). (See [3], §I.9.) Finally, the canonical line bundle on X is denoted K_X.

2.3 Differential Forms

The space of complex differential forms on X is

$$\Omega(X) = \bigoplus\nolimits_{0 \leq r \leq 4} \Omega^r(X) = \bigoplus\nolimits_{0 \leq r \leq 4} \left(\bigoplus\nolimits_{p+q=r} \Omega^{p,q}(X) \right),$$

where each $\Omega^{p,q}(X)$ is the space of complex differential (p,q)-forms on X; $\Omega^{p,q}(X)$ is trivial unless $0 \leq p, q \leq 2$. (For details, see [3] and [16].) A complex differential form is real if it is equal to its own complex conjugate. In terms of differential forms, the intersection pairing on $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is given by, for real d-closed 2-forms ω_a and ω_b,

$$[\omega_a].[\omega_b] = \int_X \omega_a \wedge \omega_b.$$

For an irreducible curve $Y \subseteq X$ and a real d-closed 2-form ω on X, the intersection pairing is given by

$$[\omega].\, \mathrm{c}_1([Y]) = \int_Y \omega;$$

this formula extends linearly to give the intersection of ω with $\mathrm{c}_1([D])$ for any divisor D on X. Since the wedge product of any form in $\Omega^1(X)$ with itself is zero, the decomposition

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus (H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X))_{\mathbb{R}}$$

is necessarily an orthogonal decomposition with respect to the intersection pairing. Thus the pairing restricts to a non-degenerate quadratic form on $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 2.3 ([3], Theorem IV.2.14) *Let X be a connected compact Kähler surface, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the dimension of $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ has signature $(1, n-1)$ under the quadratic form induced by the cup product.*

This result leads to an analogue of the Hodge index theorem that applies to real closed $(1,1)$-forms: if v_1 and v_2 are linearly independent in $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $v_1^2 > 0$ and $v_2^2 \geq 0$, then $v_1.v_2 \neq 0$.

If ω is a real $(1,1)$-form on X, then ω can be written locally as

$$\omega|_U = i\alpha_{11} dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z_1} + i\alpha_{12} dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z_2} + i\alpha_{21} dz_2 \wedge d\overline{z_1} + i\alpha_{22} dz_2 \wedge d\overline{z_2},$$

where $(\alpha_{ij}(x))$ is a Hermitian matrix at every point $x \in U$; w is (semi-)positive if $(\alpha_{ij}(x))$ is positive (semi-)definite at all points in X. A Kähler form is a real $(1,1)$-form that is positive and d-closed. The positive-definiteness forces a Kähler form to have positive intersection with any effective divisor on X. The assumption that X is Kähler means that X admits at least one Kähler form. If κ_1 and κ_2 are two Kähler forms on X, then $a\kappa_1 + b\kappa_2$ is also a Kähler form on X for any positive real numbers a and b. Thus the set of Kähler classes forms a convex cone $C_K(X) \subseteq H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, called the Kähler cone of X; it is contained in the convex cone

$$C_+(X) = \{v \in H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} | v^2 > 0, v.[\kappa] > 0 \,\forall \text{ Kähler form}\, \kappa\},$$

called the positive cone of X. The Kähler cone is open in $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, and, moreover, it is given explicitly by

$$C_K(X) = \{v \in C_+(X) | v.\, \mathrm{c}_1([Y]) > 0 \,\forall\, \text{curve}\, Y \subseteq X \,\text{with}\, Y^2 < 0\}.$$

(See [6], [11], [20], and [34], §1.) Thus the Chern class of a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is represented by a Kähler form if and only if L is ample. (This is the content of the Kodaira embedding thoerem; see [1], §9, and [34], §1.) So X is projective if and only if $C_K(X) \cap \mathrm{NS}(X) \neq \emptyset$.

If κ is a Kähler form on X given locally by

$$\kappa|_U = i\alpha_{11} dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z_1} + i\alpha_{12} dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z_2} + i\alpha_{21} dz_2 \wedge d\overline{z_1} + i\alpha_{22} dz_2 \wedge d\overline{z_2},$$

then $\sigma^*\kappa$ is given locally by

$$(\sigma^*\kappa)|_{\sigma^{-1}(U)} = i\beta_{11} d\sigma_1 \wedge d\overline{\sigma_1} + i\beta_{12} d\sigma_1 \wedge d\overline{\sigma_2} + i\beta_{21} d\sigma_2 \wedge d\overline{\sigma_1} + i\beta_{22} d\sigma_2 \wedge d\overline{\sigma_2},$$

where $(\beta_{ij}(y) = \alpha_{ij}(\sigma(y)))$ is positive definite at every $y \in \sigma^{-1}(U)$. Since σ_1 and σ_2 give local holomorphic coordinates at $\sigma^{-1}(x)$ for any pair of local holomorphic coordinates z_1 and z_2 at any point $x \in X$, it follows that $\sigma^*\kappa$ is Kähler. Thus the Kähler cone of X is preserved by σ^*.

2.4 Positive Entropy

A combination of theorems by Gromov and Yomdin shows that the (topological) entropy of σ is $\log(\lambda)$, where λ is the spectral radius of the linear map

$$\sigma^* : H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

(See [8], §4.4.2.) A real algebraic integer is called a Salem number if it is greater than one and it has a reciprocal minimal polynomial with exactly two roots off the unit circle. The following theorem is a previously known fact about Salem numbers and automorphisms of complex surfaces; the proof given below, which is based on an argument by McMullen, serves to introduce several important ideas that will reappear throughout this paper.

Theorem 2.4 *Let σ be an automorphism of a connected compact Kähler surface. Then the entropy of σ is either zero or the logarithm of a Salem number.*

Proof: (Compare [24], §3.) Since the characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ has real coefficients, the set of eigenvalues for σ^* is invariant under complex conjugation. Also, since the characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $H^2(X,\mathbb{R}) = H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ has integer coefficients, each eigenvalue is an algebraic integer. For each eigenvalue α, let $E(\alpha) \subseteq H^{1,1}(X)$ be the generalized eigenspace for σ^* corresponding to α; so each $E(\alpha)$ is a complex vector subspace, and

$$H^{1,1}(X) = \bigoplus_{\alpha} E(\alpha).$$

For each eigenvalue α, let $E'(\alpha) = E(\alpha)$ if α is real, and let

$$E'(\alpha) = E(\alpha) \oplus E(\overline{\alpha}) = E(\alpha) \oplus \overline{E(\alpha)}$$

if α has non-zero imaginary part; then

$$H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} E'(\alpha)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where the sum omits one element from each conjugate pair of non-real eigenvalues. If e_1 and e_2 are eigenvectors corresponding, respectively, to eigenvalues α_1 and α_2, then $e_1.e_2 = (\sigma^* e_1).(\sigma^* e_2) = 0$ unless $\alpha_1 \overline{\alpha_2} = 1$ (where the intersection form on $H^{1,1}(X) = H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the indefinite hermitian inner product induced by the quadratic form on $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$). So, if α^{-1} were not an eigenvalue for some eigenvalue α, then every element in $E'(\alpha)_{\mathbb{R}}$ would be perpendicular to $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$–which cannot happen, since the quadratic form on $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is non-degenerate; thus the set of eigenvalues for σ^* is invariant under inversion. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the dimension of $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since the signature of the quadratic form on $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $(1, n-1)$, $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ cannot contain a totally isotropic subspace of dimension greater than one. Now suppose that the entropy of σ^* is positive, so that σ^* has an eigenvalue λ with $|\lambda| > 1$. If λ were not real, then $E'(\lambda)_{\mathbb{R}}$ would be a totally isotropic subspace of $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ with dimension greater than one, which cannot exist; so λ is real. Similarly, if λ' were another eigenvalue of σ^* with magnitude greater than one, then $E'(\lambda)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus E'(\lambda')_{\mathbb{R}}$ would be a totally isotropic subspace of $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ with dimension greater than one, which cannot exist; so the set of eigenvalues of σ^* consists precisely of λ, λ^{-1}, and $n-2$ algebraic integers with magnitude one (counting multiplicity). Now let κ be a Kähler form on X, and let $e_+ \in E(\lambda)$ and $e_- \in E(\lambda^{-1})$ be real eigenvectors satisfying

$$(e_+ + e_-)^2 > 0 \text{ and } (e_+ + e_-).[\kappa] > 0,$$

which are guaranteed to exist by the non-degeneracy of the quadratic form on $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then it follows that

$$(ae_+ + be_-).[\kappa'] > 0 \,\forall\, a, b > 0,\, \forall\, \kappa' \in C_K.$$

So, in particular, from

$$(\sigma^*(e_+ + e_-)).[\sigma^*\kappa] = (\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda)(|\lambda|e_+ + |\lambda^{-1}|e_-)).[\sigma^*\kappa] > 0,$$

it follows that λ is positive. If λ were not a Galois conjugate of λ^{-1}, then λ^{-1} would be an algebraic integer with Mahler measure equal to one that is not a root of unity–which, by a theorem of Kronecker, cannot exist. (See [28], §1.) Thus λ is a Salem number. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 2.4 shows also that the irreducible factors of the characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ can only be cyclotomic polynomials and at most one Salem polynomial. The degree of a Salem number is the degree of its minimal polynomial; it is necessarily even. If the entropy of σ is $\log(\lambda)$, then (for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$) the entropy of σ^k is $\log(\lambda^k)$. If λ is a Salem number of degree s, then λ^k is a Salem number of degree s as well; indeed, the set of Galois conjugates of λ^k is precisely the set of all k-th powers of Galois conjugates of λ.

Due to results by Cantat, the condition that σ has positive entropy constrains what X can be: if σ has positive entropy, then (after any (-1)-curves with finite order under σ are contracted) X can only be a complex torus, a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, or a rational surface; moreover, if X is a rational surface, then X must be a blow-up of the projective plane at ten or more points. (See [8], §10.3.) If there is a (-1)-curve E on X that is periodic for σ, then σ descends to an automorphism σ' of the surface X' obtained from X by contraction of the orbit of E; moreover, since the orbit of $\mathrm{c}_1([E])$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X) \subseteq H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ contributes nothing to the entropy of σ, σ' and σ must have the same entropy. (See also [18], §2, and [8], §4.1.) Conversely, if $x \in X$ is a periodic point for σ, then σ extends (without a change in entropy) to an automorphism of the blow-up of X at the points in the orbit of x. Complex tori (of dimension two), K3 surfaces, and Enriques surfaces are all minimal, in the sense that they have no (-1)-curves; thus any automorphism with positive entropy of a surface that is not rational necessarily restricts to an automorphism of a surface with no (-1)-curves.

3 Distinguished Line Bundles

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3; the proofs are given in §3.5, and they directly invoke the propositions in the preceding subsections.

3.1 From Chern Classes to Line Bundles

Let X be a connected compact Kähler surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X. If X is a finite blow-up of a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, or a rational surface, then the first Betti number of X is zero; so the dimension of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is zero, and

$$\mathrm{c}_1 : \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{NS}(X)$$

is an isomorphism of non-torsion elements. (See [3], §I.9, §III.4, §V.1, §VIII.2, and §VIII.15.) If X is not one of these three types of surfaces and σ has positive entropy, then X must be a finite blow-up of a torus. In any case, for any polynomial $S(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, if a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ satisfies $S(\sigma^*)L = 0$, then the Chern class $\mathrm{c}_1(L)$ also satisfies $S(\sigma^*)\,\mathrm{c}_1(L) = 0$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let X be a connected compact Kähler surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X. Let $S(t)$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients. Suppose that σ has positive entropy and that $\mathrm{c}_1(L_2) \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ is a Chern class satisfying $S(\sigma^*)\,\mathrm{c}_1(L_2) = 0$. Then there is a line bundle $L_1 \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ that satisfies $S(\sigma^*)L_1 = 0$ and $\mathrm{c}_1(L_1) = \mathrm{c}_1(L_2)$.*

Proof: If X is not bimeromorphic to a torus, then the statement is evident, and, moreover, $L_1 = L_2$. If X is a torus, so that $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ for some lattice Γ, then the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is parametrized by the set of all types of normalized theta functions–that is, the set of all pairs $([h], u)$ where h is a real d-closed $(1,1)$-form on X with $[h] \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ and $u : \Gamma \to S^1$ is a unitary character. (See [10], §5.) For any such pair $([h], u)$, let $L([h], u) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ be the corresponding line bundle. Then the tensor product on $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is given by

$$L([h_a], u_a) \otimes L([h_b], u_b) = L([h_a + h_b], u_a u_b),$$

and the Chern map is given by $\mathrm{c}_1(L([h], u)) = [h]$. Let $([h_2], u_2)$ be the pair corresponding to $L_2 \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, so that $L_2 = L([h_2], u_2)$, and let $L_1 = L([h_2], u_1)$, where u_1 is the constant map given by $u_1(\gamma) = 1$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then, since the pull-back of u_1 by any map is still u_1, it follows that

$$S(\sigma^*)L_1 = L([S(\sigma^*)h_2], u_1).$$

Also, $\mathrm{c}_1(L_1) = [h_2] = \mathrm{c}_1(L_2)$. Thus, since $[h_2]$ satisfies $S(\sigma^*)[h_2] = 0$, L_1 must also satisfy $S(\sigma^*)L_1 = 0$.

If X is a blow-up of a torus $\mathbb{T}$ at r points, then the Picard group of X is given by

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathbb{Z}^r,$$

the Néron-Severi group of X is given by

$$\mathrm{NS}(X) \cong \mathrm{NS}(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathbb{Z}^r,$$

and the first Chern map is given by, for $L([h], u) \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{T})$ and $(l_1, \ldots, l_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$,

$$\mathrm{c}_1((L([h], u), (l_1, \ldots, l_r))) = ([h], (l_1, \ldots, l_r)).$$

Thus, since the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ respects the product structure, the existence of a line bundle $L_1 \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ such that $\mathrm{c}_1(L_1) = \mathrm{c}_1(L_2)$ and $S(\sigma^*)L_1 = 0$ follows from the proof for the case when X is a torus. $\square$

Suppose that X is a torus, and let $\mathrm{Pic}'(X)$ be (via the parametrization of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ by types of normalized theta functions) the set of all $L([h], \mu)$ such that μ is the constant function taking only the value one; then $\mathrm{Pic}'(X)$ corresponds bijectively to the set of all cosets of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$, and hence also to $\mathrm{NS}(X)$. (See [10], §5.) The proof of Proposition 3.1 shows that $\mathrm{Pic}'(X)$ is invariant under the action of σ^*, and that the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is the same as the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{Pic}'(X)$.

3.2 From Distinguished Chern Classes to Positive Entropy

Proposition 3.2 *Let X be a connected compact Kähler surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X. Let λ be a Salem number, and let $S(t)$ be the minimal polynomial for λ. Suppose that there is a non-trivial Chern class $\mathrm{c}_1(L) \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ such that $S(\sigma^*)\,\mathrm{c}_1(L) = 0$. Then X is projective and σ has entropy $\log(\lambda)$.*

Proof: The set of eigenvalues of the linear action of $S(\sigma^*)$ on $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is precisely the set of all $S(\alpha)$ where α is an eigenvalue of the action of σ^*. Since zero is an eigenvalue of $S(\sigma^*)$, the eigenvalues of σ^* must include a root of $S(t)$; moreover, since the characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ has integer coefficients, it must have $S(t)$ as a factor. So the entropy of σ must be $\log(\lambda)$. Let D_+ be the eigenspace for σ^* corresponding to the eigenvalue λ, let D_- be the eigenspace for σ^* corresponding to the eigenvalue λ^{-1}, and let $E = D_+ \oplus D_-$. Then the dimension of E is two, and, since any vector in D_+ or D_- must have zero self-intersection, the signature of $E \subseteq \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is $(1, 1)$. If the self-intersection of every element in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ were non-positive, then the same would be true for every element in $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which is dense in $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$; but then, by continuity, the self-intersection of every element in $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ would be non-positive, which is not the case. Thus $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ must contain some Chern class $\mathrm{c}_1(L_0)$ with

$$\mathrm{c}_1(L_0)^2 = L_0^2 > 0,$$

and X must be projective. $\square$

3.3 From Positive Entropy to Distinguished Chern Classes

Proposition 3.3 *Let X be a connected compact Kähler surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X. Let λ be a Salem number, let $S(t)$ be the minimal polynomial for λ, and let s be the degree of $S(t)$. Suppose that X is projective and that the entropy of σ is $\log(\lambda)$. Then there is an s-dimensional sublattice of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ that is annihilated by $S(\sigma^*)$.*

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the dimension of $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. So the eigenvalues of σ^* acting on $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ are λ, λ^{-1}, and $n-2$ algebraic integers with magnitude one (counting multiplicity). Let D_+ be the eigenspace corresponding to λ, let D_- be the eigenspace corresponding to λ^{-1}, and let $E = D_+ \oplus D_-$. So E has signature $(1,1)$ and $E^\perp$ has signature $(0, n-2)$. Let v_1 be a non-trivial element in D_+, let v_2 be a non-trivial element in D_-, and let $\{v_3, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis for $E^\perp$. Then, with respect to the basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, σ^* is given in matrix form as

$$\sigma^* = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{matrix} & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ matrix J. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $||J^k||$ by

$$||J^k|| = \max\{||J^k \vec{x}||/||\vec{x}|| \mid \vec{x} \in E^\perp - \{0\}\},$$

where the norm on $E^\perp \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ is the standard Euclidean norm. Since the spectral radius of J is one, J must satisfy, by a result of Gelfand,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} ||J^k|| = 1.$$

(See [14], §4.2.) So, for any $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in $H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda^{-k}(\sigma^*)^k \vec{x} = (x_1, 0, \ldots, 0)$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda^{-k}((\sigma^{-1})^*)^k \vec{x} = (0, x_2, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

If $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ were contained in $E^\perp$, then $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ would be negative definite and X could not be projective; so $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ must contain some element $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with either $x_1 \neq 0$ or $x_2 \neq 0$. Thus, since it is invariant under σ^* and closed, $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ must contain either D_+ or D_-. It follows that $S(t)$ must be a factor in the characteristic polynomial for σ^* acting on $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. So there is a subspace $E' \subseteq \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that E' is invariant under σ^* and the characteristic polynomial for σ^* acting on E' is $S(t)$. Thus $E' \cap \mathrm{NS}(X)$ is an s-dimensional sublattice that is annihilated by $S(\sigma^*)$. $\square$

3.4 Nef and Big Line Bundles

Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and suppose that σ is an automorphism of X with entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$. Let $S(t)$ be the minimal polynomial for λ, and let s be the degree of $S(t)$. For the action of σ^* on $H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R}$, let D_+ be the eigenspace corresponding to λ, let D_- be the eigenspace corresponding to λ^{-1}, and let $E = D_+ \oplus D_-$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the dimension of $H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R}$, and let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis for $H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R}$ such that $v_1 \in D_+$, $v_2 \in D_-$, and $v_j \in E^\perp$ for all other j. Since $C_K(X)$ is open in $H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R}$, it must contain some element v whose first two coordinates are some non-trivial elements $e_+ \in D_+$ and $e_- \in D_-$. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda^{-k}(\sigma^*)^k v = e_+$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda^{-k}((\sigma^{-1})^*)^k v = e_-.$$

Thus, since σ^* preserves the Kähler cone, $\overline{C_K(X)}$ must contain e_+ and e_-, as well as $ae_+ + be_-$ for any two non-negative real numbers a and b.

Let $u = ae_+ + be_-$, with a and b any two positive real numbers. If u^2 were zero, then E would be a two-dimensional totally isotropic subspace of $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, which cannot be the case; so u^2 is positive. Also, $u.\,\mathrm{c}_1([D])$ is non-negative for any effective divisor D on X. (See also [18], §2.)

Proposition 3.4 ([18], Proposition 3.1) *Assume the hypotheses and notation of the preceding text in this subsection, and let C be an irreducible curve on X. Then C is periodic for σ if and only if $u.\,\mathrm{c}_1([C])$ is zero. There are only finitely many such curves on X.*

Thus, in particular, u is a Kähler class if and only if no curve on X is periodic for σ. If C is a curve on X with $u.\,\mathrm{c}_1([C]) = 0$, then, by the analogue of the Hodge index theorem, C must have negative self-intersection.

Let $E' \subseteq \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be the s-dimensional subspace that is annihilated by $S(\sigma^*)$; so E is contained in $E' \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathrm{NS}'(X)$ be the s-dimensional sublattice $E' \cap \mathrm{NS}(X)$; so $\mathrm{NS}'(X)$ is precisely the set of all Chern classes in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ that are annihilated by $S(\sigma^*)$. Since $E^\perp$ is negative definite, $\mathrm{NS}'(X) \cap C_+(X)$ must contain some non-trivial Chern class $\mathrm{c}_1(L_+)$.

Suppose that C is an irreducible curve on X such that $\sigma^k(C) = C$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $S_k(t)$ be the minimal polynomial for λ^k; so $S_k(t)$ is again a Salem polynomial of degree s. Since the entropy of σ^k is $\log(\lambda^k)$ and every invariant space for σ^* is also invariant for $(\sigma^*)^k = (\sigma^k)^*$, it follows that $\mathrm{NS}'(X)$ is annihilated by $S_k((\sigma^*)^k)$. Thus, for any $\mathrm{c}_1(L) \in \mathrm{NS}'(X)$,

$$0 = (S_k((\sigma^*)^k)[C]).(S_k((\sigma^*)^k)L) = (\Sigma^2)([C].L),$$

where Σ is the sum of the coefficients of $S_k(t)$; but since one is not a root of $S_k(t)$, Σ cannot be zero–which forces $[C].L = 0$. So, in particular, $\mathrm{NS}'(X) \cap C_K(X)$ must be empty if σ has any periodic curves.

Proposition 3.5 *Assume the hypotheses and notation of the preceding text in this subsection, and suppose that no curve on X is periodic for σ. Then there is an ample line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ satisfying $S(\sigma^*)L = 0$.*

Proof: Let $\{w_3, \ldots, w_s\}$ be a basis for $E^\perp$ in $E' \otimes \mathbb{R}$; so $\{v_1, v_2, w_3, \ldots, w_s\}$ is a basis for $E' \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Since $(L_+)^2$ is positive, the first two coordinates of $\mathrm{c}_1(L_+)$ must be non-zero;

moreover, since $\mathrm{c}_1(L_+)$ has non-negative intersection with both e_+ and e_-, the first two coordinates of $\mathrm{c}_1(L_+)$ must be ae_+ and be_- for some positive numbers a and b. So

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda^{-k}((\sigma^*)^k + ((\sigma^{-1})^*)^k)\,\mathrm{c}_1(L_+) = ae_+ + be_-,$$

which is a Kähler class. Since $C_K(X)$ is open, there is some positive integer k' such that the k'-th iterate of the sequence is also a Kähler class. So $((\sigma^*)^{k'} + ((\sigma^{-1})^*)^{k'})\,\mathrm{c}_1(L_+)$ is a Kähler class and an element of $\mathrm{NS}'(X)$, and $((\sigma^*)^{k'} + ((\sigma^{-1})^*)^{k'})L_+$ is an ample line bundle that is annihilated by $S(\sigma^*)$. $\Box$

If no curve on X is periodic for σ and the degree of $S(t)$ is two, then $\mathrm{c}_1(L_+)$ is an element of $E \cap C_K(X)$ and L_+ itself is ample. In general (whether or not σ has periodic curves), if the degree of $S(t)$ is two, then $\mathrm{c}_1(L_+)$ is an element of $E \cap \overline{C_K(X)}$ and L_+ is nef and big. (See also [18], §3.)

Suppose that C is an irreducible curve on X with non-negative self-intersection; so $\mathrm{c}_1([C])$ is an element of $\overline{C_+(X)}$. Then, since the intersection of any two elements in $C_+(X)$ is positive, the intersection of $\mathrm{c}_1([C])$ with any element of $C_+(X)$ must be non-negative. Thus the only barrier to the existence of a nef and big line bundle whose Chern class is contained in $\mathrm{NS}'(X)$ is the set of irreducible curves on X with negative self-intersection.

Proposition 3.6 *Assume the hypotheses and notation of the preceding text in this subsection. Then there is a nef and big line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ satisfying $S(\sigma^*)L = 0$.*

Proof: For some $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $(L_+)^{\otimes q}$ is an effective divisor class; let Y_+ be an effective divisor in this class. Let $B \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the minimum value of the self-intersection of an irreducible curve in the support of Y_+; so, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, B is a lower bound for the self-intersection of an irreducible curve in the support of

$$((\sigma^*)^k + ((\sigma^{-1})^*)^k)Y_+.$$

If an irreducible curve has negative intersection with an effective divisor, then the curve must be in the support of the divisor; thus, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(((\sigma^*)^k + ((\sigma^{-1})^*)^k)((L_+)^{\otimes q})$$

has non-negative intersection with every irreducible curve on X with either non-negative self-intersection or self-intersection less than B. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all irreducible curves on X with self-intersection at least B and at most -1. Let w be the limit of the sequence

$$\{\lambda^{-k}((\sigma^*)^k + ((\sigma^{-1})^*)^k)\,\mathrm{c}_1((L_+)^{\otimes q})\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}};$$

so $w = ae_+ + be_-$ for some positive numbers a and b, and hence has positive self-intersection and non-negative intersection with every curve on X. Let $w' = ae_+ - be_-$, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the dimension of $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and let $\{w_3, \ldots, w_m\}$ be a basis for $E^\perp$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$; so $\{w, w'\}$ is a basis for E, $\{w, w', w_3, \ldots, w_m\}$ is a basis for $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and $\{w', w_3, \ldots, w_m\}$ is a basis for $< w >^{\perp}$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Thus, since the signature of $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is $(1, m-1)$, the set

$$\{v \in \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R} | v^2 = K, 0 \leq v.w \leq 1\}$$

is homeomorphic to the set

$$\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} | -K \leq ||\vec{x}||^2 \leq 1-K\},$$

and hence is compact, for each $K \in \{B, \ldots, -1\}$. So, in particular, the set of all curves in $\mathcal{C}$ intersecting w with value one or less is finite. Let $\mathcal{C}_0$ be the set of curves in $\mathcal{C}$ that have intersection zero with w; then every curve in $\mathcal{C}_0$ is periodic, and hence must have intersection zero with every element of $\mathrm{NS}'(X)$ as well. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be the minimum value of the intersection of a curve in $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_0$ with w. Suppose that $\{C_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of curves in $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_0$; then the sequence

$$\{y_l = (1/(\mathrm{c}_1([C_l]).w))\, \mathrm{c}_1([C_l])\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is contained in the compact set

$$\{\{v \in \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R} | v.w = 1, B/\epsilon^2 \leq v^2 \leq 0\},$$

and hence must have a subsequence converging to some element $y \in \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. If there were also a sequence $\{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ converging to w such that $\mathrm{c}_1([C_l]).x_l \leq \epsilon/2$ for every l, then it would follow that $y.w = 1$ while $y_l.x_l \leq 1/2$ for every l–which cannot happen. So there is an open neighborhood U of w in $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ such that every element of U intersects every curve in $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_0$ with value greater than $\epsilon/2$, and thus there is some positive integer k' such that

$$(((\sigma^*)^{k'} + ((\sigma^{-1})^*)^{k'})L_+).[C] > \frac{\lambda^{k'}\epsilon}{2} \, \forall \, C \in \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_0.$$

So $((\sigma^*)^{k'} + ((\sigma^{-1})^*)^{k'})L_+$ is a nef and big line bundle that is annihilated by $S(\sigma^*)$. □

3.5 Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By Proposition 3.2, (1) implies (3); by Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, (3) implies (2); and it is evident that (2) implies (1). Proposition 3.6 then shows that any of (1), (2), or (3) imply (4); and it is evident that (4) implies (1). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Proposition 3.5 is one direction; the other direction follows immediately from the discussion preceding Proposition 3.5. □

4 Measures of Maximal Entropy

In this section, we discuss various means of characterizing the unique measure of maximal entropy for a projective surface automorphism with positive entropy. We prove

Theorem 1.5 in §4.3, drawing on material from §4.1; in §4.2, we discuss the role that subvarieties play in the understanding of measures of maximal entropy. In §4.4, we focus on the case of a projective surface automorphism whose entropy is the logarithm of a degree-two Salem number; in particular, we present results from [17] and [21] for this case, and we prove Corollaries 1.2, 1.4, and 1.6.

Let X be a compact metric space with a metric d, and let σ be a continuous self-map of X. The topological entropy of σ is denoted $h(\sigma)$, and the the measure-theoretic entropy of σ associated to a σ-invariant Borel probability measure μ on X is denoted $h_\mu(\sigma)$. (For details, see [30].) The variational principle gives

$$h(\sigma) = \sup h_\mu(\sigma),$$

where the supremum is taken over all σ-invariant Borel probability measures on X; a measure of maximal entropy for σ is any measure that realizes the supremum as a maximum. If $A \subseteq X$ is a Borel measurable set that is invariant under σ, then

$$h_\mu(\sigma) = \begin{cases} h_{(\mu|_{X-A})}(\sigma|_{X-A}) & (\mu(A)=0) \\ \mu(A) h_{[(1/\mu(A))\cdot\mu|_A]}(\sigma|_A) + \mu(X-A) h_{[(1/\mu(X-A))\cdot\mu|_{X-A}]}(\sigma|_{X-A}) & (0<\mu(A)<1) \\ h_{(\mu|_A)}(\sigma|_A) & (\mu(A)=1) \end{cases}$$

for any σ-invariant Borel probability measure μ on X.

4.1 Positive Currents

Suppose that X is a connected compact Kähler surface, and that σ is an automorphism of X; so (by the theorems of Gromov and Yomdin) the topological entropy of σ is equal to the logarithm of the spectral radius of the action on $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ induced by σ.

An r-current on X is a real-valued linear functional on $\Omega^{4-r}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is continuous with respect to the topology of uniform convergence. The space of currents on X is

$$W(X) = \bigoplus\nolimits_{0\le r\le 4} W^r(X),$$

where each $W^r(X)$ is the space of r-currents on X. (See also [22], §I.1, and [3], §I.11.) The exterior derivative d on differential forms induces a map on currents: if T is an r-current, then dT is the $r+1$ current given by, for $\eta \in \Omega^{3-r}(X)$,

$$dT(\eta) = (-1)^{r+1} T(d\eta).$$

Since $d^2 = 0$ as a map on currents, d defines a cochain complex of spaces of currents, which gives rise to the cohomology groups $H^r_W(X)$. For any $\omega \in \Omega^r(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, let T_ω be the r-current given by, for $\eta \in \Omega^{4-r}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$T_\omega(\eta) = \int_X \omega \wedge \eta;$$

then the map from $\Omega(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $W(X)$ given by $\omega \mapsto T_\omega$ commutes with d and induces isomorphisms from the De Rham cohomology groups $H^r(X, \mathbb{R})$ to the groups $H^r_W(X)$. Any d-closed r-current on X descends to a linear functional on $H^{4-r}(X, \mathbb{R})$ (since it is trivial on d-exact forms in $\Omega^{4-r}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$); two d-closed r-currents represent the same cohomology class in $H^r_W(X)$ if and only if they give the same linear functional on $H^{4-r}(X, \mathbb{R})$. For a d-closed current $T \in W^2(X)$ and a d-closed form $\omega \in \Omega^2(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, the intersection pairing on $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is given by $[T].[\omega] = T(\omega)$. The push-forward by σ of an r-current T is the r-current $\sigma_* T$ given by, for $\eta \in \Omega^{4-r}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\sigma_* T(\eta) = T(\sigma^* \eta)$; the pull-back of an r-current T by σ is the r-current $\sigma^* T = (\sigma^{-1})_* T$. The pull-back map σ^* commutes with the map from $\Omega(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $W(X)$ given by $\omega \mapsto T_\omega$.

A $(1,1)$-current on X is a 2-current which takes non-zero values only on elements of $\Omega^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$; since every element of $\Omega^2(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ decomposes uniquely as the sum of a real $(1,1)$-form and a form in $(\Omega^{2,0}(X) \oplus \Omega^{0,2}(X))_{\mathbb{R}}$, every 2-current on X decomposes uniquely as the sum of a $(1,1)$-current and a 2-current whose restriction to $\Omega^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is trivial. (See also [8], §5.1, and [17], §3.2.) From the formula for the intersection pairing, it follows that the cohomology class of any d-closed $(1,1)$-current must be a class in $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Also, any current T_ω associated to a real $(1,1)$-form ω on X is necessarily a $(1,1)$-current; thus every class in $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is represented by some d-closed $(1,1)$-current. A $(1,1)$-current T is positive if it satisfies $T(\eta) \geq 0$ for any semi-positive $\eta \in \Omega^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proposition 4.1 *Let X be a connected compact Kähler surface. Then the trivial current is the unique positive current on X representing the trivial class in $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof: Suppose that T is a positive current representing the trivial class in $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. If T were non-trivial, then there would be some (non-closed) form $\eta \in \Omega^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $T(\eta) < 0$; but then there would be some Kähler form $\kappa \in \Omega^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$T(\eta + \kappa) = T(\eta) < 0$$

with $\eta + \kappa$ a positive form, which cannot happen. □

Any 4-current on X extends to a linear functional on the space of continuous real-valued functions on X, and hence also to a linear functional on the space of non-negative (and non-infinite) Borel measurable functions on X; thus, in particular, a 4-current on X that is positive on non-negative functions is the same thing as a finite Borel measure on X (and vice versa). The wedge product of a positive $(1,1)$-current T with a semi-positive $(1,1)$-form ω is the measure $T \wedge T_\omega$ given by, for a smooth function $\phi \in \Omega^0(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$(T \wedge T_\omega)(\phi) = T(\phi\omega);$$

if T' is a positive $(1,1)$-current that is a weak limit of semi-positive $(1,1)$-forms, then the wedge product $T \wedge T'$ is the weak limit of the wedge products of T with the semi-positive forms. The following result by Cantat shows how the action of σ^* on $W(X)$ gives rise to a unique measure of maximal entropy for σ.

Theorem 4.2 ([7] and [8]) *Let X be a connected compact Kähler surface, and suppose that σ is an automorphism of X with entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$. Then there are positive closed $(1,1)$-currents T_+ and T_- on X with the following properties:*

1) *$\sigma^*T_+ = \lambda T_+$ and $\sigma_*T_- = \lambda T_-$;*

2) *T_+ and T_- are the unique positive $(1,1)$-currents representing their respective cohomology classes;*

3) *If T is another positive d-closed $(1,1)$-current on X, then the sequences*

$$\{\lambda^{-k}(\sigma^k)^*T\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ and } \{\lambda^{-k}(\sigma^k)_*T\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converge weakly to $([T_-].[T])T_+$ and $([T_+].[T])T_-$, respectively; and

4) *$T_+ \wedge T_-$ is the unique measure of maximal entropy for σ.*

Since the currents T_+ and T_- in Theorem 4.1 are, respectively, dilated and contracted by σ^*, their wedge self-products must both be trivial. Thus, for any positive real numbers a and b, the current $aT_+ + bT_-$ has wedge self-product

$$a^2(T_+ \wedge T_+) + 2ab(T_+ \wedge T_-) + b^2(T_- \wedge T_-) = 2ab(T_+ \wedge T_-),$$

which is a positive multiple of the measure of maximal entropy for σ. Moreover,

$$\sigma^*(aT_+ + bT_-) + (\sigma^{-1})^*(aT_+ + bT_-) = (\lambda + \lambda^{-1})(aT_+ + bT_-)$$

for any a and b. The cohomology classes $[T_+]$ and $[T_-]$ are necessarily eigenvectors for the action of σ^* on $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ (corresponding to the eigenvalues λ and λ^{-1}, respectively) and are necessarily contained in $\overline{C_K(X)}$; also, $[T_+].[T_-] = 1$ (since $T_+ \wedge T_-$ is a probability measure). The measures of maximal entropy for σ, σ^{-1}, and all iterates of σ or σ^{-1} are necessarily the same.

Proposition 4.3 *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and suppose that σ is an automorphism of X with entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$. Let L be a nef and big line bundle on X, and suppose that $\mathrm{c}_1(L)$ contains some semi-positive form ω_0. Then the inductively defined sequence*

$$\{\omega_n = (\lambda + \lambda^{-1})^{-1}(\sigma^*\omega_{n-1} + (\sigma^{-1})^*\omega_{n-1})\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges weakly to a current T with the property that $T \wedge T$ is some positive scaling of the measure of maximal entropy for σ.

Proof: Since ω_0 is semi-positive and d-closed, the current T_{ω_0} is positive and d-closed; also, $\mathrm{c}_1(L)$ and $[T_{\omega_0}]$ are the same cohomology class. Since $[T_+]$ and $[T_-]$ span the eigenspaces corresponding, respectively, to the eigenvalues λ and λ^{-1}, the Chern class of any nef and big line bundle on X must have positive intersection with both $[T_+]$ and $[T_-]$. So, in particular, the sequence

$$\{T_n = \lambda^{-n}((\sigma^n)^*T_{\omega_0} + ((\sigma^{-1})^n)^*T_{\omega_0})\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges weakly to $aT_+ + bT_-$ for some positive real numbers a and b. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$T_{\omega_{2k}} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda + \lambda^{-1}}\right)^{2k} \sum\nolimits_0^k \left(\begin{array}{c} 2k \\ j \end{array}\right) \lambda^{2k-2j} T_{2k-2j}$$

(where $T_0 = T_{\omega_0}$) and

$$T_{\omega_{2k-1}} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}\right)^{2k-1} \sum\nolimits_0^{k-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2k-1 \\ j \end{array}\right) \lambda^{2k-1-2j} T_{2k-1-2j};$$

also, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum\nolimits_0^m \left(\begin{array}{c} m \\ j \end{array}\right) \lambda^{m-2j} = (\lambda+\lambda^{-1})^m > 2^m = \sum\nolimits_0^m \left(\begin{array}{c} m \\ j \end{array}\right).$$

(Compare [17], §3.3.2.) Moreover,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}\right)^{2k} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2k \\ k-l \end{array}\right) \lambda^{2l} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}\right)^{2k-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2k-1 \\ k-l \end{array}\right) \lambda^{2l-1} = 0$$

for any $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}\right)^{m} \sum\nolimits_{m/2<j\leq m} \left(\begin{array}{c} m \\ j \end{array}\right) \lambda^{m-2j} = 0.$$

Thus it follows that, for any real $(1,1)$-form η, the sequences $\{T_{\omega_{2k}}(\eta)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{T_{\omega_{2k-1}}(\eta)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ both converge to $aT_+(\eta) + bT_-(\eta)$. $\square$

4.2 Periodic Subvarieties

The following theorem is well-known, and follows, for example, from the theorems of Gromov and Yomdin (applied to curves). (See, for example, [8], §1.1 and §4.4.)

Theorem 4.4 *Let Y be a connected compact Kähler curve, and let τ be an automorphism of Y. Then the entropy of τ is zero.*

Suppose that X is projective, and that σ has entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$. Let μ_σ be the measure of maximal entropy for σ. By definition, the entropy of σ restricted to the orbit of any periodic point must be zero. Suppose that C is an irreducible curve on X that is periodic for σ; so C is a fixed curve for σ^k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A finite sequence of blow-ups of singular points of C yields a surface X' in which C', the strict transform of C, is non-singular. (See [3], §II.7.) Since σ^k must preserve the set of non-singular points on C, each blown-up point in the construction of X' must be periodic for σ^k; so σ^k extends to an automorphism of X', which in turn restricts to an automorphism (with entropy zero) of the non-singular curve C'. Thus the restriction of σ^k to C must have entropy zero. Since the entropy of any iterate of σ restricted to some σ-invariant set is at least the entropy of σ on the set, the entropy of σ restricted to the orbit of any periodic curve must be zero.

Proposition 4.5 *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X. Suppose that σ has positive entropy, and that C is an irreducible subvariety on X that is periodic for σ. Then $\mu_\sigma(C) = 0$.*

Proof: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the period of C under σ; so the entropy of σ^k restricted to C is zero. Let ν be the measure on X given by, for a Borel set A,

$$\nu(A) = (1 - \mu_\sigma(C))^{-1} \mu_\sigma(A - (A \cap C)).$$

If $\mu_\sigma(C)$ were positive, then ν would be a σ^k-invariant probability measure on X such that the entropy of σ^k with respect to ν is strictly greater than the entropy of σ^k with respect to μ_σ–which cannot exist. □

If the support of μ_σ were contained in some subvariety of X, then it would be contained in some periodic subvariety of X–that is, some finite union of points and irreducible curves on X, each periodic for σ; but then the support of μ_σ would have measure zero, which cannot be the case. Thus the support of μ_σ is Zariski dense in X. If $\mu_\sigma(\{x\})$ were positive for some point $x \in X$, then x would necessarily be a periodic point (since $\mu_\sigma(X) = 1$)–and hence could not have positive measure; thus μ_σ has no atoms on X. The same argument shows that (since μ_σ has no atoms) the measure of any irreducible curve on X must in fact be zero.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of points in X of exact period k for σ is a subvariety $Z_k \subseteq X$; the set $\mathrm{Per}(\sigma, k)$ of isolated points of exact period k is the complement in Z_k of the union of all of the curves contained in Z_k. Since there can only be finitely many curves on X that are periodic for σ, there is an upper bound on the values of k for which $\mathrm{Per}(\sigma, k)$ is a proper subset of Z_k. The following result by Cantat shows that μ_σ is determined by the set of points that are periodic for σ.

Theorem 4.6 ([7] and [8]) *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and suppose that σ is an automorphism of X with positive entropy. Then the isolated periodic points for σ are equidistributed with respect to the measure of maximal entropy for σ, in the sense that the sequence*

$$\left\{ (|\,\mathrm{Per}(\sigma, n)|)^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Per}(\sigma, n)} \delta_x \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

converges weakly to μ_σ.

Since the support of μ_σ is Zariski dense in X, the set of (isolated) points in X that are periodic for σ must also be Zariski dense. (See also [8], §4.4.3.)

4.3 Semi-Positive Forms

Suppose that X is projective and that E is an effective divisor on X. The effective divisor class $[E] \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ is base-point free if for any $x \in X$ there is an effective divisor in $[E]$ that does not contain x. A line bundle in $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is globally generated if it is base-point free as an effective divisor class. (See [15], §II.7.)

Theorem 4.7 ([16], Corollary 4.3.19) *Every globally generated line bundle on a smooth complex projective surface has a semi-positive form in its Chern class.*

If a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ has a semi-positive form ω in its Chern class, then the intersection properties of ω guarantee that L is nef. Combined with Theorem 4.7, the following theorem (due to Kawamata) provides a means of finding line bundles whose Chern classes contain semi-positive forms.

Theorem 4.8 ([13], Theorem 2) *Let A be a nef and big divisor on a smooth complex projective surface X, and suppose that $K_X \otimes A$ is nef. Then $(K_X \otimes A)^{\otimes q}$ is globally generated for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Suppose that σ has entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$, and let $S(t)$ be the minimal polynomial for λ; so there is a nef and big line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ such that $S(\sigma^*)L = 0$.

Proposition 4.9 *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, let σ be an automorphism of X, and let L be a nef and big line bundle on X. Suppose that X is not a rational surface, and that σ has positive entropy. Then there is some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L^{\otimes q}$ has a semi-positive form in its Chern class.*

Proof: Since X is not a rational surface, it must be birational to a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, or an abelian surface. If X is a K3 surface or an abelian surface, then K_X is trivial; so

$$K_X \otimes L = L$$

is nef (and big), and $L^{\otimes q}$ is globally generated for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$. (See [3], §VI.1.) If X is an Enriques surface, then $K_X \otimes K_X$ is trivial and K_X must have zero intersection with every line bundle on X; so $K_X \otimes L$ is nef (and big), and

$$(K_X \otimes L)^{\otimes q} = K_X^{\otimes (q \bmod 2)} \otimes L^{\otimes q}$$

is globally generated for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$–from which it follows that $L^{\otimes 2q}$ is globally generated. (See [3], §VIII.15.)

More generally (if X is not necessarily minimal), let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of (-1)-curves on X; so $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite set, and every $E \in \mathcal{F}$ is periodic for σ. Let X' be the surface obtained from X by contraction of all curves in $\mathcal{F}$; so the Picard group of X is given by

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X') \times \left(\bigoplus_{E \in \mathcal{F}} <[E]> \right).$$

Since every $E \in \mathcal{F}$ must have zero intersection with L, L can be expressed as

$$L = (L', 0) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X),$$

for some nef and big $L' \in \mathrm{Pic}(X')$. Since X' is a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, or an abelian surface, $(L')^{\otimes q}$ is base-point free (as an effector divisor class) for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $x \in X$, let x' be the image of x in X'; then there is some effective divisor D_x representing L' that does not contain x'. Thus, for any $x \in X$, the proper transform of D_x in X is an effective divisor representing L that does not contain x; so $L^{\otimes q}$ is globally generated. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5: By Proposition 3.6, there is a nef and big distinguished line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$; by Proposition 4.9, some multiple $L^{\otimes q}$ is a distinguished nef and big line bundle whose Chern class contains a semi-positive form. The weak convergence of the sequence and the properties of the limit are given by Proposition 4.3. □

4.4 Degree-Two Salem Numbers

Suppose that λ is a degree-two Salem number; so its minimal polynomial is

$$t^2 - dt + 1,$$

with $d = (\lambda + \lambda^{-1})$ (and hence $d > 2$). Then, by Theorem 1.1, there is a nef and big line bundle $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ satisfying

$$\sigma^* L \otimes (\sigma^{-1})^* L = L^{\otimes d};$$

that is, $(X; \sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ is a dynamical system of two automorphisms associated to L of degree d, as defined by Kawaguchi. (See [17].) Theorem 1.1 shows furthermore that this is the only setting in which $(X; \sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ is a dynamical system of two morphisms associated to a line bundle; this is Corollary 1.2.

Suppose that L is ample; then the dynamical system $(X; \sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ is polarized, as defined by Lee. (See [21].) By Theorem 1.3, this implies that no curve on X is periodic for σ, and thus that every periodic point is isolated; the first statement (with its converse) is Corollary 1.4. Let κ_0 be a Kähler form in the Chern class of L. The following theorem is a direct consequence of results by Kawaguchi in [17] and Lee in [21], applied to the current setting as a special case.

Theorem 4.10 ([17] and [21]) *Assume the hypotheses and notation of the preceding text in this subsection. Then the inductively defined sequence*

$$\{\kappa_n = d^{-1}(\sigma^* \kappa_{n-1} + (\sigma^{-1})^* \kappa_{n-1})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

converges weakly to a current T with the property that $\sigma^* T + \sigma_* T = dT$; moreover, the periodic points for σ are equidistributed with respect to μ_σ (in the sense of Theorem 4.6).

Via Theorem 4.6, the equistribution result in Theorem 4.10 shows that μ_σ is the normalization of $T \wedge T$. In fact, Proposition 4.3 shows that T itself must be some positive linear combination of the currents T_+ and T_- from Theorem 4.2. Moreover, if X is not a rational surface, Theorem 1.5 extends Theorem 4.10 to the case where κ is semi-positive but not necessarily Kähler (so L need not be ample); this is Corollary 1.6.

5 Orbits of Line Bundles

In this section, we investigate the orbits of Chern classes under projective surface automorphisms with positive entropy. We prove one direction of Theorem 1.7 in §5.1, and we complete the proof in §5.2.

Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let σ be an automorphism of X with entropy $\log(\lambda) > 0$. Let $S(t)$ be the minimal polynomial for λ, and let s be the degree of $S(t)$. For any line bundle $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$, the span of the orbit of $\mathrm{c}_1(L)$ under σ^* is a subspace of $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ that is invariant under σ^*.

Let $\mathrm{NS}'(X)$ be the s-dimensional sublattice of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ consisting of all Chern classes that are annihilated by $S(\sigma^*)$; so the characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}'(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{NS}'(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is $S(t)$. Let $C(t)$ be the characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}'(X)^{\perp}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$; so $C(t)$ is either trivial (if $\mathrm{NS}'(X) = \mathrm{NS}(X)$) or a product of cyclotomic polynomials. The characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $S(t)C(t)$.

5.1 Inseparable Cyclotomic Factors

Supppose that W is a real vector space equipped with a definite quadratic form, and that T is an invertible linear transformation of W that preserves the quadratic form. Then the quadratic form extends to a definite hermitian form on $W \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and T extends to a linear transformation $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $W \otimes \mathbb{C}$ that preserves the hermitian form. Let $\{w_1, \ldots, w_r\}$ be a basis for $W \otimes \mathbb{C}$ that expresses $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ in Jordan canonical form. If $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not diagonal with respect to this basis, then there is some basis element w_j such that $T_{\mathbb{C}} w_j = w_{j-1} + \eta w_j$ and $T_{\mathbb{C}} w_{j-1} = \eta w_{j-1}$, where η is some non-simple eigenvalue of T. Since $(T_{\mathbb{C}} w_{j-1})^2 = |\eta|^2 (w_{j-1})^2$ and $(w_{j-1})^2 \neq 0$, η must lie on the unit circle; but then

$$(T_{\mathbb{C}} w_{j-1}).(T_{\mathbb{C}} w_j) = (\eta w_{j-1}).(w_{j-1} + \eta w_j) = \eta (w_{j-1})^2 + w_{j-1}.w_j$$

with $\eta (w_{j-1})^2 \neq 0$, which cannot be the case. So T must in fact be diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 5.1 *Assume the hypotheses and notation of the preceding text in this section. Then, as a linear transformation of* $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$*,* σ^* *is diagonalizable over* $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof: Since $S(t)$ is separable, each of its roots is a simple eigenvalue of σ^*; thus σ^* is diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$ as a linear transformation of $\mathrm{NS}'(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since $\mathrm{NS}'(X)^{\perp}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is negative definite or trivial, σ^* is in fact diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$ as a linear transformation of $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. $\Box$

If a linear transformation of a complex vector space is diagonalizable, then its minimal polynomial is necessarily separable.

Proposition 5.2 *Assume the hypotheses and notation of the preceding text in this section, and suppose that* $C(t)$ *is inseparable. Then no line bundle on* X *can have an orbit of Chern classes that spans* $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof: Let $M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be the minimal polynomial for the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the degree of $M(t)$. So the orbit of any Chern class $\mathrm{c}_1(L) \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ must be contained in

$$<\mathrm{c}_1(L), \ldots, (\sigma^*)^{m-1}\, \mathrm{c}_1(L)>.$$

Since $C(t)$ is inseparable, there is a cyclotomic polynomial $P(t)$ such that $P(t)^2$ divides $C(t)$; however, $P(t)^2$ cannot divide $M(t)$. It follows that m is strictly less than the degree of $S(t)C(t)$; so the orbit of any Chern class in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ must be contained in in a subspace of dimension strictly less than that of $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. $\square$

If the degree of $C(t)$ is two or more, then $(x-1)^2$ will necessarily divide the cyclotomic factor for some iterate of σ (so this cyclotomic factor will be inseparable). Also, the degree of $C(t)$ must be at least the number of curves on X that are periodic for σ.

5.2 Separable Cyclotomic Factors

Since X is projective, the intersection $\mathrm{NS}(X) \cap C_K(X)$ is non-empty; moreover, since $C_K(X)$ is open in $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, it is also open in $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. It follows that $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is spanned by the set of all Chern classes of ample line bundles on X.

Proof of Theorem 1.7: Let $Q(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be the characteristic polynomial for the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$. If $Q(t)$ is inseparable, then, by Proposition 5.2, no line bundle in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ has an orbit of Chern classes that spans $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. If $Q(t)$ is separable, then it is also the minimal polynomial for the action of σ^* on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$; in this case, the set of invariant factors

$$\{Q_1(t), \ldots, Q_r(t)\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

for the rational canonical form of σ^* is precisely the set of irreducible factors of $Q(t)$, and there is a decomposition

$$\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} E_j$$

such that each E_j is invariant under σ^* and annihilated by $Q_j(\sigma^*)$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be the dimension of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$, and let

$$\{A_1, \ldots, A_q\} \subseteq \mathrm{Pic}(X)$$

be a set of ample line bundles whose Chern classes span $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$; then there is some linear combination

$$A = k_1 A_1 + \cdots + k_q A_q$$

with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$ such that the projection of $\mathrm{c}_1(A)$ to E_j is not zero for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. So A is an ample line bundle whose orbit of Chern classes spans $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

If $C(t)$ is trivial or linear, then every iterate of σ will have an induced action on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ that is separable.

6 Examples of Surface Automorphisms

In each of the examples that follow, the surface is projective and the automorphism is minimal in the sense that no exceptional curve (of the first kind) on the surface is periodic for the automorphism. The examples highlight the existence in various settings of the following types of automorphisms:

1) Automorphisms without periodic curves, and automorphisms with periodic curves;

2) Automorphisms with separable cyclotomic factors, and automorphisms with inseparable cyclotomic factors; and

3) Automorphisms that give rise to dynamical systems of two morphisms.

6.1 Abelian Surfaces and Kummer Surfaces

By the adjunction formula, an irreducible curve C on a complex surface with a numerically trivial canonical bundle has $C^2 < 0$ if and only if C is a smooth rational curve, which is equivalent to the condition $C^2 = -2$. (See [3], §II.11, and [18], §3.) Moreover, a complex torus cannot contain a smooth rational curve: otherwise, the embedding of the projective line into the torus would lift to a non-trivial map from the projective line to affine space, which cannot exist. So, in particular, an abelian surface automorphism with positive entropy cannot have a periodic curve. The Picard rank of an abelian surface must be at least one and at most four; thus the possible positive values of the entropy of an abelian surface automorphism are limited to logarithms of Salem numbers of degree two or four.

Any automorphism of a two-dimensional complex torus descends to an automorphism of the associated Kummer surface–that is, the K3 surface obtained as a blow-up of the quotient of the torus by the natural involution coming from multiplication by -1; the sixteen curves on the Kummer surface arising from the fixed points for the involution on the torus must be periodic for the Kummer surface automorphism, and the entropy of the Kummer surface automorphism must be the same as the entropy of the torus automorphism. (See also [24], §4.) A Kummer surface may admit additional automorphisms beyond those which come from automorphisms of the torus to which the Kummer surface is associated. (See, for example, [19].)

Abelian surface automorphisms that give rise to polarized dynamical systems. For any elliptic curve $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$, the product

$$E \times E = \mathbb{C}^2/(\Lambda \times \Lambda)$$

is a two-dimensional complex torus; since E is necessarily projective, $E \times E$ must be an abelian surface. Via the group law on E, any element

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

gives an automorphism of $E \times E$ by, for $(e_1, e_2) \in E \times E$,

$$A(e_1, e_2) = (ae_1 + be_2, ce_1 + de_2);$$

the entropy of such an automorphism is the maximum of the squares of the magnitudes of the eigenvalues of the matrix. Thus any element of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ whose eigenvalues are not both units gives an automorphism with positive entropy of any product torus $E \times E$; since the minimal polynomial for any element of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ must have degree two, any such automorphism (together with its inverse) gives a polarized dynamical system on X. (See also [31], §5.) On a product torus $E \times E$, the three divisors $E \times \{0\}$, $\{0\} \times E$, and $\{(e,e)|e \in E\}$ give linearly independent line bundles in $\mathrm{Pic}(E \times E)$. If E is chosen generically, then the Chern classes of these line bundles generate $\mathrm{NS}(E \times E)$; so, in this case, the Picard rank of $E \times E$ is three, and any automorphism of $E \times E$ with positive entropy must have as its entropy the logarithm of Salem number of degree two and hence also must have a separable cyclotomic factor.

Any element of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ whose eigenvalues are not both units also gives an automorphism with positive entropy of the (necessarily projective) Kummer surface associated to any product torus; however, such an automorphism will necessarily have periodic curves and hence cannot give a polarized dynamical system (but does give a dynamical system of two morphisms associated to a nef and big line bundle). Also, in this case, the difference between the Picard rank of the Kummer surface and the degree of the Salem number giving the entropy of the automorphism must be at least sixteen; thus some iterate of such an automorphism must have an inseparable cyclotomic factor.

Abelian surface automorphisms whose entropies are logarithms of Salem numbers of degree four. The product tori $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[i] \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/3}] \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/3}]$ each have Picard rank four. As in the previous example, elements of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ or $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/3}])$ give automorphisms of the corresponding product tori; matrices in either of these groups can be chosen so that the entropies of the automorphisms they give are logarithms of Salem numbers of degree four (so the automorphisms have trivial cyclotomic factors). (See also [27], §1.)

6.2 Non-Kummer K3 Surfaces

Automorphisms of K3 surfaces that do contain any smooth rational curves. As introduced by Mazur, any smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ that is given by an equation of tri-degree $(2,2,2)$ is a K3 surface; moreover, any such surface admits three non-commuting involutions (arising from the three natural projections to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$) whose compositions (in various arrangements) give automorphisms with positive entropy. (See [23], §9, and [7], §1.5.) If the equation of tri-degree $(2,2,2)$ is chosen generically, then the Picard rank of the K3 surface is three, and the Picard group of the K3 surface is generated by the three line bundles obtained as pull-backs of hyperplanes under the three natural projections to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$; with respect to this basis, the intersection form on the Picard group is given as a matrix by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(See also [2], §2.) So no line bundle on a generic K3 surface in this family can have self-intersection equal to -2, and thus no curve on a generic K3 surface in this family can have negative self-intersection; thus any automorphism of such a surface with positive entropy cannot have a periodic curve. Moreover, any such automorphism must have as its entropy the logarithm of a Salem number of degree two (and also must have a separable cyclotomic factor); thus the automorphism (together with its inverse) gives rise to a polarized dynamical system. (See also [21], §2.)

K3 surface automorphisms with infinite orbits of smooth rational curves but no periodic curves. As introduced by McMullen, if the equation in the previous example is, in affine coordinates,

$$f(x, y, z) = (x^2 + 1)(y^2 + 1)(z^2 + 1) + Axyz - 2,$$

for some $A \in \mathbb{C}$, then the K3 surface has twelve (smooth rational) curves with self-intersection -2. (See [24], §1, and [32].) The automorphism group of this K3 surface includes all of the automorphisms identified in the previous example for the generic K3 surface in the family. So, in particular, this K3 surface admits automorphisms with positive entropy coming from compositions of the three natural involutions; moreover, these automorphisms give rise to polarized dynamical systems on this K3 surface as well (so the orbits of the smooth rational curves are infinite).

As introduced by Baragar, if the equation in the previous example is, in affine coordinates,

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2(y^2 + yz + z^2 + z) + x(y^2z^2 + y^2z + z) + (y^2z^2 + y^2z + y + z),$$

then the K3 surface contains the smooth rational curve $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$, and the Picard rank of the K3 surface is four; moreover, the presence of the projective line on the K3 surface leads to an additional involution on the surface that does not commute with the three natural involutions. (See [2], §2.) Compositions of this fourth involution with the three natural involutions (in various arrangements) give additional automorphisms with positive entropy not accounted for by the previous example; in all such cases that have been investigated, these automorphisms have no periodic curves (so the orbit of the projective line is infinite) and give rise to polarized dynamical systems. (See also [21], §2.) These examples include some automorphisms with separable but not irreducible degree-two cyclotomic factors.

A non-Kummer K3 surface automorphism with periodic curves. Via the Torelli theorem, the existence of certain K3 surface automorphisms is implied by the existence of corresponding lattice isometries; applications of the Torelli theorem to lattice isometries obtained as gluings of sublattice isometries can yield examples of K3 surface automorphisms with many interesting properties. In particular, as developed by McMullen, this process leads to the construction of a projective K3 surface automorphism whose entropy is the logarithm of a Salem number of degree six, and whose Picard group contains a two-dimensional sublattice on which the automorphism induces the identity map and the intersection form is given as a matrix by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ -1 & -20 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(See [27], §8.) For K3 surfaces, the Riemann-Roch theorem implies that any line bundle with self-intersection -2 and positive intersection with all Kähler forms must be an effective divisor class. (See [2], §1.) So there is an effective divisor class with self-intersection -2 on this K3 surface that is fixed by the automorphism; thus the automorphism must have a periodic curve.

K3 surface automorphisms for which the degrees of the Salem numbers giving the entropies are equal to the Picard ranks of the surfaces. In the same vein as the previous example, again as developed by McMullen, another gluing construction gives a projective K3 surface automorphism in which the degree of the Salem number giving the entropy and the Picard rank of the surface are both eighteen (so the automorphism has a trivial cyclotomic factor). (See [26], §8.) In this case, the automorphism cannot have a periodic curve, since no root of unity is can be eigenvalue for the action on the Néron-Severi group induced by the automorphism.

Adaptations of the Torelli theorem, as developed by Oguiso, also show the existence of a projective K3 surface with Picard rank two that admits automorphisms with positive entropy. (See [29], §4.) In this case, any automorphism with positive entropy must have as its entropy the logarithm of a Salem number of degree two (and also must have a trivial cyclotomic factor)–and hence must give rise to a polarized dynamical system.

6.3 Rational Surfaces

Rational surface automorphisms with no periodic curves. For any two complex numbers α and β, the map $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ given by

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y) = (y, (y + \alpha)/(x + \beta))$$

defines a birational self-map of $\mathbb{P}^2$. For certain parameters, as constructed by Bedford and Kim, a finite blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ resolves the map $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ into a rational surface automorphism; when the blow-up is at ten or more points on $\mathbb{P}^2$, this automorphism has positive entropy. Some of the automorphisms with positive entropy constructed in this way have periodic curves and some do not. (See [5].)

Rational surface automorphisms with invariant anticanonical curves. In the previous example, the automorphisms with positive entropy that have periodic curves arise from finite blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at points along invariant anticanonical cuspidal cubic curves; in fact, most of the known examples of rational surface automorphisms with positive entropy arise in this way. (See [4], [12], [25], and [33].) Indeed, results by Uehara show that any positive value that is the spectral radius of an element in the Weyl group for some Lorentz-Minkowski lattice is also the exponent of the entropy of some automorphism of a blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ with an invariant anticanonical cuspidal cubic curve. (See [33],

§1.) Thus, in general, the constructions of these rational surface automorphisms yield entropies that are logarithms of Salem numbers of arbitrarily large degrees. A special case of the previous example gives an explicit automorphism of a blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at ten points whose entropy is the logarithm of a Salem number of degree ten (so, since the Picard rank of the blow-up is eleven, the automorphism must have a separable cyclotomic factor); however, the constructions often lead to rational surface automorphisms for which the difference between the Picard rank of the surface and the degree of the Salem number giving the entropy is greater than one. (See [25], §1 and §2.)

A rational surface automorphism that gives rise to a dynamical system of two morphisms associated to a nef and big line bundle. The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 92 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 14 & 14 & 14 & 25 & 25 & 25 & 44 & 44 & 44 \\ -8 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -4 & -4 \\ -8 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -4 & -4 \\ -8 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -4 & -4 \\ -44 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -6 & -7 & -7 & -12 & -12 & -12 & -21 & -21 & -21 \\ -44 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -7 & -6 & -7 & -12 & -12 & -12 & -21 & -21 & -21 \\ -44 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -7 & -7 & -6 & -12 & -12 & -12 & -21 & -21 & -21 \\ -25 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -6 & -7 & -7 & -12 & -12 & -12 \\ -25 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -7 & -6 & -7 & -12 & -12 & -12 \\ -25 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -7 & -7 & -6 & -12 & -12 & -12 \\ -14 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -6 & -7 & -7 \\ -14 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -7 & -6 & -7 \\ -14 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -7 & -7 & -6 \end{pmatrix}$$

gives a Weyl element for the Lorentz-Minkowski lattice of dimension thirteen whose spectral radius is a degree-two Salem number; thus there is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up at twelve points whose entropy is the logarithm of this degree-two Salem number. (This explicit example was constructed via trial and error as a composition of known Weyl elements, based in part on ideas in [33].)

References

[1] Werner Ballmann. *Lectures on Kähler Manifolds*. European Mathematical Society, 2006.

[2] Arthur Baragar. The ample cone for a K3 surface. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 63:481–499, 2011.

[3] Wolf Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris Peters, and Antonius van de Ven. *Compact Complex Surfaces*. Springer-Verlag, 2004.

[4] Eric Bedford and Kyounghee Kim. Periodicities in linear fractional recurrences: degree growth of birational surface maps. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 54:647–671, 2006.

[5] Eric Bedord and Kyounghee Kim. Dynamics of rational surface automorphisms: linear fractional recurrences. *Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 19(3):553–583, 2009.

[6] Nicholas Buchdahl. On compact Kähler surfaces. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier (Grenoble)*, 49:287–302, 1999.

[7] Serge Cantat. Dynamique des automorphismes des surfaces K3. *Acta Mathematica*, 187:1–57, 2001.

[8] Serge Cantat. Dynamics of automorphisms of compact complex surfaces (a survey). http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/serge.cantat/Articles/dyn-aut.pdf, 2011.

[9] Serge Cantat and Stéphane Lamy. Normal subgroups in the Cremona group. arXiv:1007.0895v1.

[10] Olivier DeBarre. *Complex Tori and Abelian Varieties.* American Mathematical Society and Société Mathématique de France, 2005.

[11] Jean-Pierre Demailly and Mihai Paun. Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold. *Annals of Mathematics*, 159(2):1247–1274, 2004.

[12] Jeffrey Diller. Cremona transformations, surface automorphisms, and plane cubics. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 60:409–440, 2011.

[13] Lawrence Ein. Adjoint linear systems. In *Current Topics in Complex Algebraic Geometry*, pages 87–95. MSRI Publications, 1995.

[14] Matheus Grasselli and Dmitry Pelinovsky. *Numerical Mathematics.* Jones and Bartlett, 2008.

[15] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry.* Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[16] Daniel Huybrechts. *Complex Geometry: An Introduction.* Springer-Verlag, 2005.

[17] Shu Kawaguchi. Canonical heights, invariant eigencurrents, and dynamical eigensystems of morphisms for line bundles. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 597:135–173, 2006.

[18] Shu Kawaguchi. Projective surface automorphisms of positive entropy from an arithmetic viewpoint. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 130(1):159–186, 2008.

[19] Jong Hae Keum. Automorphisms of Jacobian Kummer surfaces. *Compositio Mathematica*, 107:269–288, 1997.

[20] Ahcène Lamari. Le cône Kählerien d'une surface. *Journal de Mathématique Pures et Appliquées*, 78:249–263, 1999.

[21] Chong Gyu Lee. The equidistribution of periodic points of some automorphisms on K3 surfaces. *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, to appear*, 2012. arXiv:1102.4860.

[22] Pierre Lelong. *Plurisubharmonic Functions and Positive Differential Forms*. Gordon and Breach, 1969.

[23] Barry Mazur. The topology of rational points. *Experimental Mathematics*, 1(1):35–45, 1992.

[24] Curtis McMullen. Dynamics on K3 surfaces: Salem numbers and Siegel disks. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 545:201–233, 2002.

[25] Curtis McMullen. Dynamics on blow-ups of the projective plane. *Publications Mathématiques, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 105:49–89, 2007.

[26] Curtis McMullen. Dynamics with small entropy on projective K3 surfaces. http://www.math.harvard.edu/ ctm/papers/home/text/papers/pos/pos.pdf, 2011.

[27] Curtis McMullen. K3 surfaces, entropy, and glue. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 658:1–25, 2011.

[28] Michael Mossinghoff, Georges Rhin, and Qiang Wu. Minimal Mahler measures. *Experimental Mathematics*, 17(4):451–458, 2008.

[29] Keiji Oguiso. Free automorphisms of positive entropy on smooth Kähler surfaces. arXiv:1202.2637.

[30] Karl Petersen. *Ergodic Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[31] Paul Reschke. Salem numbers and automorphisms of complex surfaces. *Mathematical Research Letters, to appear*, 2012. arXiv:1202.5245.

[32] Nathaniel Rowe. Master's thesis, University of Nevada – Las Vegas, 2010.

[33] Takato Uehara. Rational surface automorphisms with positive entropy. arXiv:1009.2143.

[34] Claire Voisin. Recent progresses in Kähler and complex projective geometry. In Ari Laptev, editor, *European Congress of Mathematics: Stockholm, June 27–July 2, 2004*. European Mathematical Society, 2005.